

Interview Summary

Application No.
08/716,531

Applicant(s)
Mahe et al

Examiner
Sheela J. Huff

Group Art Unit
1642

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Sheela J. Huff

(3) _____

(2) Robin Teskin

(4) _____

Date of Interview Oct 7, 1999

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: all pending claims

Identification of prior art discussed:

all prior art of record

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

After reviewing the references, it was discovered that the Ferreira et al patent clearly stated the K(D)PT did not inhibit oedema (col. 9, lines 25+). The Oluyomi et al reference on page 137, col 1 states that L-pro is needed for anti-swelling activity. While the Examiner does not state that limiting the claims to inhibition of swelling will overcome the art-the Examiner will reconsider.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

**SHEELA J. HUFF
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 1642**

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.